Flow-Ecology Relationships Saluda RBC: March, 2024



Drs. Luke Bower, Joe Mruzek, and Brandon Peoples

Flow-Ecology Relationships

 In stream flow is critical for aquatic communities

"Master variable"





Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Science of the Total Environment

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scitotenv

Quantifying flow-ecology relationships across flow regime class and ecoregions in South Carolina



Luke M. Bower^{a,*}, Brandon K. Peoples^b, Michele C. Eddy^c, Mark C. Scott^d

- Goal: to provide insight on the potential response of organisms to the alternate water withdrawal scenarios produced by SWAM.
 - We aim to put the SWAM results into a biological context in aquatic communities



How will this work? Step 1

Timing, magnitude, frequency, rate of change, and duration

How will this work? Step 2

How will this work? Step 3

How can we use these relationships?

- Defining biological response limits
 - zones low, medium, and high change in the biological condition of streams along flow gradients
 - Searching for areas along flow gradients that induce changes in the biological metric
- Predicting responses
 - If we alter flow by X amount what will be the biological response?

Mean daily flow (MA1): biological response limits

- Lines defined by working group
- Performance measure

Mean daily flow (MA1): predictions

n -0.05 -0.1 -14% -0.15 -0.2 -21% -0.25 -0.3 -0.35 -0.4 0.8 0.7 -0.45Scenario Predicted % Change Current 20% MD 100 80 HD 100 60 40%

Key to Understanding the Results of the Surface Water Modeling Scenarios:

Mean daily flow (MA1): N. Pacolet near Fingerville

Scenario	Current	Predicted	% change	Bio Metric	Risk
UIF	320	368.91	15.4%	Richness	Low
MD 2070	320	283.39	-11.3%	Richness	Low
HD 2070	320	257.78	-19.4%	Richness	Low
P&R	320	227.65	-28.8%	Richness	Med
Current Use Mean Dai	urrent Use Scenario Mean Daily Flow		enario Daily Flows	% Cha scenaric the Curre	nges for each are relative to ent Use Scenaric

Colored lines correspond to scenario results shown in the table UIF HD2070 MD2070 P&R Fish Species Richness Dashed red 0.5 and blue lines separate the low medium 0.4 and high risk zones 0.3 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 Mean Daily Flow

Key to Understanding the Results of the Surface Water Modeling Scenarios:

Ecoregions

- Blue Ridge: Mountainous
- Piedmont: Rolling hills
- Southeastern plains: Flatter, well drained sandy soils

Stream Classes

- 1: Perennial runoff: moderately stabile flow and distinct seasonal extremes
 - Stable baseflow: high precipitation, sustained high baseflows, and moderately high run-off
 - 4: Perennial flashy: moderately stabile flow with high flow variability

Selected Metrics

	Instream Flow Performance Recommendations and Risk Ranges			
Stream Type:	Piedmont Perennial Runnoff			
	Risk Ranges			
	Low	Med	High	
Flow Metric				
Mean Daily Flow (FR)	>0.78	0.64-0.78	<0.64	
Calendar Day of Lowest Flow (BHF)	>327			

FR=Fish Species Richness: The number of fish species found in a stream or river reach BHF=Brood hiding fishes. Brood hiders bury of place their eggs in a concealed location, but do not guard or provide any parental care.

SLD22 Bush River: MA1-Richness

Scenario	Current	Predicted	% Flow	Bio Metric	% Bio	SE	95%
UIF	205.89	199.12	-3%	Richness	-3%	7	13.9
MD 2070	205.894	204.21	-1%	Richness	-1%	7	13.9
HD 2070	205.894	207.17	1%	Richness	1%	7	13.9
P&R	205.894	226.79	-10%	Richness	-8%	7	13.9

SLD22 Bush River : MA1-Richness

Scenario	Current	Predicted	% change	Bio Metric	Risk
UIF	205.89	199.12	-3%	Richness	Low
MD 2070	205.894	204.21	-1%	Richness	Low
HD 2070	205.894	207.17	1%	Richness	Low
P&R	205.894	226.79	-10%	Richness	Low

SLD22 Bush River : TL1-Brood hiding fishes

RCSN Rabon Creek: MA1-Richness

Scenario	Current	Predicted	% change	Bio Metric	Risk
UIF	99.83	104.24	-4%	Richness	Low
MD 2070	99.83	99.64	0%	Richness	Low
HD 2070	99.83	98.48	-1%	Richness	Low
P&R	99.83	35.562	-64%	Richness	High

RCSN Rabon Creek: MA1-Richness

Scenario	Current	Predicted	% Flow	Bio Metric	% Bio	SE	95%
UIF	99.83	104.24	-4%	Richness	-4%	7	13.9
MD 2070	99.83	99.64	0%	Richness	0%	7	13.9
HD 2070	99.83	98.48	-1%	Richness	-1%	7	13.9
P&R	99.83	35.562	-64%	Richness	-53%	7	13.9

RCSN Rabon Creek: TL1-Brood hiding fishes

SWAP-listed fishes in Saluda River basin

V-LIP REDHORSE

Santee Chub

Carolina Darter

Greenfin Shiner

Seagreen Darter

Fieryblack Shiner

Highback Chub

Carolina Fantail Darter

Eastern Brook Trout

All photos from ncfishes.com

What this info <u>is</u>

- Guidance based on best available data and analysis tools
- Based on models with compounding statistical uncertainty

What this info *is not*

- Arbitrary recommendations from 'expert advice'
- Perfect.
- More data = less uncertainty
- Changing climate & land cover = more uncertainty

Flow Chart

Forecast Changes in Biota

What this info <u>is</u>

- Guidance based on best available data and analysis tools
- Based on models with compounding statistical uncertainty
- Representative of overall (30-year) flow regime characteristics

What this info *is not*

- Arbitrary recommendations from 'expert advice'
- Perfect.
- More data = less uncertainty
- Changing climate & land cover = more uncertanty

• One-time withdrawal thresholds

PACOLET RIVER NEAR FINGERVILLE, SC

IMPORTANT Legacy real-time page

Monitoring location 02155500 is associated with a STREAM in SPARTANBURG COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA. Current conditions of DISCHARGE, GAGE HEIGHT, MEAN WATER VELOCITY FOR DISCHARGE COMPUTATION, and MORE are available. Water data back to 1903 are available online.

What this info <u>is</u>

- Guidance based on best available data and analysis tools
- Based on models with compounding statistical uncertainty
- Representative of overall (30-year) flow regime characteristics
- Applicable to streams and small rivers (~86% of all SC waters)
- Relationships between organisms and flow

What this info *is not*

- Arbitrary recommendations from 'expert advice'
- Perfect.
- More data = less uncertainty
- Changing climate & land cover = more uncertanty

• One-time withdrawal thresholds

- Applicable to large rivers and reservoirs
- Parsing out other factors that affect organisms
- Land use affects flow, etc.

Results summer

- P&R scenario showed greatest impact for MA1 and Richness.
 - Other SWAM scenarios show little to no impact on flow
- Little to no change in TL1 for all scenarios
- Report to follow

Questions

SLD111 Reedy River: MA1-Richness

Scenario	Current	Predicted	% change	Bio Metric	Risk
UIF	223.56	180.13	-19.4%	Richness	Med
MD 2070	223.56	231.17	-0.03%	Richness	Low
HD 2070	223.56	232.74	-0.04%	Richness	Low
P&R	223.56	230.62	-0.03%	Richness	Low

SLD111 Reedy River: MA1-Richness

Scenario	Current	Predicted	% Flow	Bio Metric	% Bio	SE	95%
UIF	223.56	180.13	-19.4%	Richness	-16%	7	13.9
MD 2070	223.56	231.17	-0.03%	Richness	-3%	7	13.9
HD 2070	223.56	232.74	-0.04%	Richness	-3%	7	13.9
P&R	223.56	230.62	-0.03%	Richness	-3%	7	13.9

SLD111 Reedy River: TL1-Brood hiding fishes

Twelvemile Creek: MA1-Richness

Scenario	Current	Predicted	% Flow	Bio Metric	% Bio	SE	95%
UIF	27.78	28.44	2.4%	Richness	2%	7	13.9
MD 2070	27.78	27.96	<1%	Richness	<1%	7	13.9
HD 2070	27.78	27.43	-1%	Richness	-1%	7	13.9
P&R	27.78	23.68	-15%	Richness	-12%	7	13.9

Twelvemile Creek: MA1-Richness

Scenario	Current	Predicted	% change	Bio Metric	Risk
UIF	27.78	28.44	2.4%	Richness	Low
MD 2070	27.78	27.96	<1%	Richness	Low
HD 2070	27.78	27.43	-1%	Richness	Low
P&R	27.78	23.68	-15%	Richness	Med

Twelvemile Creek: TL1-Brood hiding fishes

